

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/696,276	SHIRAISHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2827

**All Participants:**

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

**Status of Application:** Allowed with the entry of supplemental amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Shawn W. O'Dowd (34,687).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 August 2003

**Time:** :-

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 21 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: (a) With the new amendment two groups of the claims were in allowable conditions except the group with independent claim 21 and dependent claims 6 and 26. Asked the applicant to either amend or cancel the claims. A supplemental amendment submitted in response.

(b) Cross hatching patterns were not correct. To be changed to show respective material of the element as shown by examiner's amendment.